

Joseph D. Frank (IL Bar No. 6216085) (jfrank@fgllp.com)
 Jeremy C. Kleinman (IL Bar No. 6270080) (jkleinman@fgllp.com)
 FRANKGECKER LLP
 1327 W. Washington Blvd., Suite 5G-H
 Chicago, Illinois 60607
 Telephone: (312) 276-1400
 Facsimile: (312) 276-0035

Steven B. Sacks (CA Bar No. 98875) (ssacks@srclaw.com)
 Sacks, Ricketts & Case, LLP
 177 Post Street, Suite 650
 San Francisco, CA 94108
 Telephone: (415) 549-0580
 Facsimile: (415) 549-0640

Counsel for PepsiCo, Inc. Master Trust

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,

- And -

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Debtors.

Affects PG&E Corporation

Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company

☐ Affect both Debtors

Case No. 19-30088 (DM)
 (Jointly Administered)

Chapter 11

**PEPSICO, INC. MASTER TRUST'S
 RESPONSE TO REORGANIZED
 DEBTORS' ELEVENTH SECURITIES
 CLAIMS OMNIBUS OBJECTION
 (CLAIMS BARRED BY THE
 STATUTE OF REPOSE)**

PepsiCo, Inc. Master Trust (the "Pepsi Trust"), respectfully submits this response (the "Response") to the Reorganized Debtors' Eleventh Securities Claims Omnibus Objection (Claims Barred by the Statute of Repose) (the "Claim Objection"). In support of its Response, the Pepsi Trust states as follows:

1 1. On April 9, 2020, the Pepsi Trust filed Claim No. 98712, in the amount of \$1,317,977
2 against Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “Pepsi Trust Claim”). The Pepsi Trust Claim asserts
3 damages “under the securities laws and section 510(b) of the Bankruptcy Code from the purchase
4 and/or acquisition of the Debtors’ publicly traded debt and/or equity securities during the period from
5 April 29, 2015 through November 15, 2018.” Specifically, the Pepsi Trust Claim relates to a March 1,
6 2018 purchase by the Pepsi Trust of 6.05% debt securities due on March 1, 2034 (the “Debt Securities”)
7 for \$3,700,000, plus fees.

8 2. The Pepsi Trust Claim is among the claims covered by the consolidated securities
9 litigation, captioned *In re PG&E Corp. Securities Litigation*, Civil Action No. 18-CV-03509 (the
10 “Securities Litigation”) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the
11 “District Court”), in which the Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico (“PERA”)
12 was appointed as Lead Plaintiff. On November 9, 2018, PERA filed a Consolidated Class Action
13 Complaint in the Securities Litigation (as amended, the “Complaint”), asserting claims “on behalf of a
14 class of all persons and entities that purchased or otherwise acquired PG&E publicly traded securities
15 during the period from April 29, 2015 through June 8, 2018, inclusive,” relating to material
16 misrepresentations regarding PG&E’s wildlife safety measures and its compliance with applicable laws
17 and regulations. *See* Securities Litigation Docket (the “Sec. Litg. Dkt.”), Docket No. 83 at ¶¶ 1-11.¹
18 The Debt Securities on which the Pepsi Trust Claim is based were purchased by the Pepsi Trust during
19 the putative class period designated by the Complaint.

20 3. On August 3, 2021, PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company
21 (collectively, the “Reorganized Debtors”) filed the Claim Objection [Docket No. 6272]. In the Claim
22 Objection, the Reorganized Debtors seek to disallow and expunge the Pepsi Trust Claim (and
23 others) as barred by the statute of repose set forth in Section 13 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the
24 _____
25
26
27

28 ¹ As referenced in the Claim Objection, this period was subsequently extended to November 15, 2018. *See* Claim
Objection, at 4.

1 “Securities Act”), which imposes a three-year statute of repose on claims asserted under section 11 of
2 the Securities Act relating to a material misstatement in offering materials. *See* 15 U.S.C. §77m.

3 4. As set forth in the Declaration of Michael Keable, filed as Exhibit B to the Claim
4 Objection, the Debt Securities referenced in the Pepsi Trust Claim were offered on March 23, 2004.
5 Consequently, the Reorganized Debtors are correct that any claims under Section 11 of the Securities
6 Act relating to these Debt Securities are now barred by the statute of repose. Nevertheless, in the Claim
7 Objection, the Reorganized Debtors recognize that the claims subject to the Objection do not state the
8 specific statute under which those claims arise, but the Reorganized Debtors believe that they are
9 asserted exclusively under Section 11 of the Securities Act. *See* Claim Objection, at 7.
10

11 5. As explained in the Complaint, the damages set forth in the Pepsi Trust Claim and
12 suffered by other similarly-situated claimants give rise to a cause of action against Pacific Gas and
13 Electric Company under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”)
14 (*see* 15 U.S.C. § 78j) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the U.S. Securities and Exchange
15 Commission (*see* 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5); *see also* Sec. Litig. Dkt., Docket No. 83, at 1, Docket No.
16 95 at ¶¶ 25, 27.
17

18 6. Unlike Claims under Section 11 of the Securities Act, the relevant statute of repose for
19 these claims provides a period of up to five years within which to bring a claim. Specifically, section
20 804 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 governs the timeliness of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 fraud
21 claims. Section 804 provides that a plaintiff must bring its lawsuit: “within two years after the discovery
22 of the facts constituting the fraud,” but “[n]ot more than five years after the fraud occurred.” 28 U.S.C.
23 § 1658. Both the Complaint and the Pepsi Trust Claim were filed within this time period.
24 Consequently, the Pepsi Trust Claim is timely and not subject to disallowance based on section 13 of
25 the Securities Act, section 804 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, or otherwise. On this basis, the
26 Claim Objection should be denied.
27
28

WHEREFORE, the Pepsi Trust respectfully requests that this Court enter an order: (i) denying the Claim Objection; (ii) allowing the Pepsi Trust Claim in the amount of \$1,317,977, and (iii) granting such further relief as this Court deems just.

Counsel for PepsiCo, Inc. Master Trust

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the above-referenced action. My business address is Sacks, Rickets & Case, LLP, 177 Post Street, Suite 650, San Francisco, California 94108. I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing and for transmitting documents by Federal Express, facsimile, electronic mail, courier and other modes.

On September 8, 2021, I served **PepsiCo Inc. Master Trust's Objection to Reorganized Debtors' Eleventh Securities Claims Omnibus Objection (Claims Barred by the Statute of Repose)** on the parties listed on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List and Service List, as follows:

☒ **BY CM/ECF:** Pursuant to General Order 06-03 and CM/ECF Administrative Procedures Guide, the above-referenced document(s) was automatically transmitted to registered ECF users as listed on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List.

☒ **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL:** Pursuant to General Order 06-03 and CM/ECF Administrative Procedures Guide, the above-referenced document(s) was automatically transmitted to registered those recipients listed on the Service List as having been served via Electronic Mail.

☐ **BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION:** I served the parties listed on the attached Facsimile Transmission Service List at the facsimile numbers indicated thereon. The times of receipt of transmission for each recipient are indicated on the attached facsimile confirmation sheet.

☐ **BY MAIL:** I placed the document in a sealed envelope or package correctly addressed to the parties on the attached Service List and deposited same with the U.S. Postal Service with postage fully prepaid. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, such correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 8, 2021, at San Francisco, California.

Steven B. Sacks
(type or print name)

/s/ Steven B. Sacks
(signature)